

ABSTRACT

# **Plant Archives**

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org doi link : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2021.v21.S1.086

## INFLUENCE OF ORGANIC AND POTASSIUM FERTILIZATION ON POTATO YIELD AND QUALITY

<sup>1</sup>Mahmoud A. M. Abd El-Hady, <sup>2</sup>Samar M. A. Doklega and <sup>3</sup>Sally F. Abo El-Ezz

<sup>1</sup>Veget. and Flori. Dept. Fac. Agric., Damietta University, Egypt.

<sup>2</sup>Veget. and Flori. Dept. Fac. Agric., Mansoura University, Egypt.

<sup>3</sup>Soil Science Dept. Fac. Agric., Mansoura University, Egypt.

Potato is considered strategic vegetable crop in the entire world. So, two field experiments were conducted in the experimental farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University to study the effect of organic fertilization and foliar application with potassium (K) as well as rates of K soil fertilization and their interaction on vegetative growth (plant height, No of leaves/plant, fresh and dry weight), leaves chemical composition (Chlorophyll a, b, a+b, N, P and K), tuber yield (plant yield, No. of tuber/plant and total tuber yield) and its quality (TSS, reducing, non reducing, total sugar, vitamin C, starch and protein). The experiment was conducted in strip split plot design including 12 treatments *i.e.* 2 organic applications (compost and vermicompost), 2 foliar applications (tap water as a control and K at 2%) and three rates of K soil applications (120, 165 and 214 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/hectare).

The obtained results clarified that using compost and foliar application with K at 2% as well 165 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha significantly increased leaves chemical contents and tuber quality, while using vermicompost and foliar application with K at 2% plus 165 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha gave the highest values of potato growth parameters and yield. Thus, it can be recommended that using compost or vermicompost at 9.5 and 7 ton/ ha and foliar application with K at 2% as well 165 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha that gave the highest net return and improve quality of potato tubers. *Keywords:* Compost, potato, potassium, vermicompost.

#### Introduction

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is national crop following maize, rice and wheat. People depend on it almost time for nutrition and income. It is important source for carbohydrates, also has several nutrients vitamins, so it lower cost source of energy that human use it for diet. Total production of universal potato was 388,190,674 ton in 2017 (FAOSTAT 2017).

Using organic fertilization either compost or vermicompost as friendly environmental source for plant fertilization and producing healthy food (Lairon, 2009), however its low sufficient of nutrients. Organic fertilization participated in reducing environmental pollution and production cost. Compost improves the different properties of soils. As well supply of plants with available elements that increase its uptake, this reflects on plant growth and productivity (El-Sayed et al., 2015 and Mijwel, 2018 on potato). Abd El-Nabi et al. (2016) showed that using compost increased potato growth, yield and quality. Vermicompost contains fundamental elements, enzymes, hormones, vitamins, N fixing and P solubilizing bacteria (Borah et al., 2007 and Najar et al., 2015). It promote microbial and enzyme activity that enhance soil particle aggregation and aeration. It fastens supply with micro elements in the soil to avoid leaching from soil and supplies the plant with its needs of the elements that reflect on plant growth and yield. Many researches showed the positive effect of vermicompost addition on potato growth, yield and quality (Agrowal *et al.*, 2017; Ferdous *et al.*, 2019a and Mostofa *et al.*, 2019)

K is one of essential macro elements that enhancing enzyme activity, protein and carbohydrates synthesis, movements of photosynthetic compounds (Salomi and Saadat, 2013 and Wang et al., 2013). It can be added in several methods and sources. Foliar fertilization provides plants with nutrients in limited production conditions that prevent nutrient uptake from soil or inefficient (Hiller, 1995), also it corrects elements deficiencies on elements on plant growth and yield especially with mobile nutrients (Westennann, 2005). Many studies showed importance of k foliar application on growth and yield of potato (El-Sirafy et al., 2008 and Salim et al., 2014). Potato tuber size relies on potassium addition at all season of growth stage especially at the second part of potato due to its role in plant growth and participate several sides of potato quality. Neomerious studies cleared importance of potassium for potato growth, yield and quality (Zelelew et al., 2016; Abou Zeid and Abd El-Latif. 2017 and Abdrabbo et al., 2019).

Thus, the aim of research was to study the effect of organic fertilization, foliar and different rates of potassium soil additions in order to increase potato growth and yield having high quality as well decrease production costs.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The current study was conducted during two winter seasons 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 at the experimental farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University to investigate the effect of 12 treatments, organic amendments (compost and vermicompost), foliar application with K (tap water as control and foliar application at 2% K<sub>2</sub>O) and three levels of K soil addition (120, 165 and 215 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha) on potato Spunta cultivar growth, chemical composition, yield and quality.

The soil analysis is shown in Table 1 according to Jackson (1967).

**Table 1:** Some physical and chemical properties of experimental soil (average two seasons):

Soil pa	rticle di	istributi	on (%)	Texture class	OM (%)	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	SP	EC dS.m <sup>-1</sup> ,	pН	A (mg	vaila ; kg <sup>-1</sup>	ble soil)
Coarse Sand	Fine Sand	Silt	Clay			(gkg <sup>-1</sup> )	%	1:5	(1:2.5)	Ν	Р	K
4.35	25.42	35.51	34.72	Silty clay loam	1.59	4.83	59.4	0.93	8.06	53.4	6.2	100.2
SD. Satu	D. Saturation paraantaga OM		Organic matter	EC: Elec	trical con	ductiv	itx7					

SP: Saturation percentage OM: Organic matter EC: Electrical conductivity

A strip split plot design was applied with three replicates in the randomized complete block design, the organic fertilization was assigned in vertical plots, and foliar K application was allocated in the horizontal plots, while sub plots were contain addition of soil potassium rates.

Potato tubers were cut and planted on  $3^{rd}$  and  $5^{th}$ November during first and second seasons respectively. Pieces were sown every 25 cm apart in rows each row was (0.7m width X 8m length). The plot area was 11.2 m<sup>2</sup> consist of two rows.

Calcium super phosphate  $(15.5\% P_2O_5)$  was added during soil preparation at 165 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha. 355 kg N/ha was added at three doses as ammonim nitrate (33.5 N %), the first one during preparation soil, while the second after emergence and the third was added after two weeks. Potassium fertilizer was added as potassium sulphate (48% K<sub>2</sub>O) at three levels (120, 165 and 215 kg  $K_2O/ha$ ) and divided into two equal portions, the first one was added after one month from emergence while the second dose after one month later. All agricultural treatments were done according to the recommendation of Ministry of Agriculture in Egypt.

Foliar application with K in the form of potassium sulphate at rate of 2%  $K_2O$  (1.66 K) was added three times at 40, 55 and 70 days from planting by 20 L hand pressure sprayer. The volume of foliar application was 240, 355 and 480 liter/ha in the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> foliar additions, respectively. To ameliorate permeation through leaves of plant used pervasion agent.

Compost rice straw and vermicompost were applied at 9.5 and 7 ton/ha respectively during preparation soil. Chemical analysis of compost and vermicompost are shown in Tables 2 and 3.

Table 2: Chemical analysis of compost.

I ubic A		ai anaiyoi	is or comp	050.							
	% C			9	<u>%</u> Ppm				Sp	pН	EC1:10,
OM	OC	Ν	C/N	Р	K	Fe	Mn	Zn	(%)	1:10	dS- <sup>1</sup> m
21.3	12.8	0.59	20.5	0.42	0.61	48.16	18.05	9.22	104.0	7.05	4.14

OM: Organic matter OC: Organic carbon

**Table 3:** Chemical analysis of vermicompost.

	%		C/N		%		<b>рН</b> (1,10)	EC1:10,
OM	OC	Ν	C/IN	Р	K	SP	pm (1.10)	dS- <sup>1</sup> m
34.62	34.62	1.69	15.65	2.12	1.31	164.00	7.55	2.22
		$TT \neq 1$ 1		· ·		•		•

OM: Organic matter TC: Total carbon SP: Saturation percent

#### Data recorded

Five plants were taken randomly after 80 days from planting to determine:

**Vegetative growth characters** *i.e.* plant height (cm); No of leaves/ plant and fresh weight (g/plant). To determine dry weight (g/plant) by oven, plant samples were dried at  $70^{\circ}$ C till constant weight.

#### **Chemical composition of leaves:**

- Chlorophyll a, b and a+b (mg/g Fw): were determined according to Goodwine (1965).
- Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium percentage were estimated in dry leaves according to AOAC (2012).

#### **Tubers yield**

After 120 days from planting; plant yield (kg); No. of tuber/plant and total tuber yield (ton/ha) were listed, tubers were dried to record dry matter (%).

#### **Tuber quality:**

- Total dissolved solids (TDS) %: were determined by using Refract meter according to AOAC (2012).
- Total sugar %: was estimated by the method reported by Malik and Srivastava (1979).
- Reducing and non reducing sugar%: were determined as reported by Somogy (1952).
- -Vitamin C (mg/100 g Fw): It was determined by the method reported in AOAC (2012).
- Starch%: was determined according to Somogy (1952).
- Protein %: It was calculated by multiplying N in tuber percentage × 6.25.
- -At the end of the experiment available K of the soil was determined according to Jackson (1967).

#### Statistical analysis:

Data were statisticaly analyzed by ANOVA technique according to Gomez and Gomez (1984). The means of treatments were compared by Duncan Multiple Rang Test (Duncan, 1955).

### **Economic feasibility:**

- Gross money return as marketable yield (Ton ha<sup>-1</sup>) x 3000 LE /Ton
- Treatment cost was calculated according to the prices of all treatments: compost, vermicompost, foliar application, potassium sulphate.
- Total cost including: Treatment cost plus all agricultural practices that equal nearly 47850 LE ha<sup>-1</sup>.
- Net return and benefit cost ratio were determined according to Boardman *et al.* (2001) as:

Net return = gross return - total variable cost

Benefit cost ratio: divided gross return on total variable.

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Vegetative growth parameters:

Results shown in Table 4 clear that the effect of organic fertilization on vegetative growth parameters *i.e.* plant height,

No. of leaves, fresh and dry weights were significantly affected by application methods. These values enhanced significantly by vermicompost as compared to compost addition in the two seasons.

Results in the same Table illustrate that foliar potassium application at 2% significantly increased vegetative growth parameters in both seasons compared with control. Regarding the soil potassium addition rates, vegetative growth parameters increased with increasing K up to 165 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha in both seasons. Increasing K rates to 215 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha didn't lead to the highest vegetative growth compared to 165 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha.

There were significant interaction effect among the organic fertilization, foliar and soil K additions. The highest values of No. of leaves and dry weight were obtained from treatment fertilized with vermicompost, spraying with K at 2% and soil addition with 165 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha in both seasons. This trend also has been obtained for fresh weight in the second season, while the maximum value of plant height was obtained from vermicompost application, spraying with K at 2% and soil addition at 215 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha in both seasons. The same trend has been obtained for fresh weight in the first season.

**Table 4:** Vegetative growth parameters of potato plants as affected by organic fertilizers, foliar application and rates of soil potassium fertilization during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons.

	(	Characters	Plant hei	ght (cm)	No. of lea	wes/plant	Fresh weig	ht (g/plant)	Dry v (g/p	veight lant)
Treatments	3		$1^{st}$	$2^{nd}$	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
A- Organic	fertilizat	ion treatme	nts:							
compost			42.27	42.83	15.1	16.2	159.60	168.19	48.30	47.92
vermicompo	ost		48.89	46.56	16.6	18.1	171.78	182.69	51.20	51.51
F. test			*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>B-</b> Potassiu	m foliar	application:	•							
Control			40.11	38.22	13.4	14.3	144.11	160.82	47.08	47.03
Foliar K			51.06	51.17	18.2	19.9	187.28	190.06	52.42	52.41
F. test			*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
C- Rates of	soil pota	ssium fertili	zation:							
215 kg/K			46.08 b	46.75 b	15.8 b	17.5 b	170.37 b	182.23 b	50.45 b	50.03 b
165 kg K			51.50 a	50.83 a	18.6 a	20.1 a	189.32 a	198.12 a	53.02 a	52.98 a
120 kg/K			39.17 c	36.50 c	13.1 c	13.8 c	137.39 c	145.97 c	45.76 c	46.14 c
Interaction										
	rol	215 kg/K	36.00 f	36.00 j	12.0 f	13.0 fg	168.94 f	167.63 f	45.50 e	44.59 e
st	ont	165 kg K	44.33 d	44.33 f	16.3 cd	17.7 d	133.42 ј	176.58 e	49.90 bc	49.38 cd
od	CC	120 kg/K	31.33 g	30.331	11.0 f	11.0 h	118.421	124.30 j	42.59 f	42.34 f
om	ar	215 kg/K	49.33 bc	50.00 d	18.3 bc	19.7 bc	190.12 d	192.9 d	52.57 b	51.70 bc
õ	oli: K	165 kg K	52.33 b	54.00 c	18.3 bc	20.3 b	197.69 c	202.27 c	52.61 b	52.74 b
	H	120 kg/K	40.33 de	42.33 g	14.3 e	15.3 e	149.04 h	145.44 i	46.62 e	46.76 de
t.	rol	215 kg/K	42.00 d	39.33 i	12.7 f	14.3 ef	179.09 e	157.21 g	47.43 de	47.54 de
SOC	ont	165 kg K	49.67 bc	46.67 e	17.0 cd	18.3 cd	140.92 i	187.77 d	52.32 b	52.45 b
lm	CC	120 kg/K	37.33 ef	32.67 k	11.7 f	11.6 gh	123.85 k	151.44 h	44.72 e	45.86 e
ico	<u>د</u>	215 kg/K	57.00 a	61.67 a	20.0 b	23.0 a	219.15 a	211.17 b	56.33 a	56.28 a
rm	lia K	165 kg K	59.67 a	58.33 b	22.7 a	24.0 a	209.46 b	225.85 a	57.24 a	57.37 a
ve	Fo	120 kg/K	47.67 c	40.66 h	15.3 de	17.0 d	158.25 g	162.71 f	49.13 bc	49.58 cd

#### Leaves chemical composition:

Results listed in Tables 5 and 6 show the effect of organic additions, foliar and soil rates of K fertilizer on chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, chlorophyll a+b, N, P and K contents of potato leaves. Obtained results indicated that using compost gave significant increases of these parameters compared to vermicompost in both seasons except K percentage in the second season.

Regarding the impact of K foliar application on chemical composition of leaves, results in the same Tables reveal that foliar application with K at 2% enhanced all leaves chemical contents compared to the control in both seasons.

As shown in the same Tables, results clear that the maximum leaves chemical contents values of potato obtained from addition with 165 kg  $K_2O$ /ha to soil.

The interaction influence among compost addition, K foliar application and 165 kg  $K_2O/ha$  of K fertilizer gave significant values of chemical contents of potato plant leaves moreover, the interaction among compost addition, K foliar application and 120 kg  $K_2O/ha$  soil fertilization on K% in both seasons.

#### Tubers yield and its components:

Results in Table 7 indicate that using vermicompost as organic fertilizer significantly increased plant yield, No. of tubers/plant and total yield compared to compost soil addition in both seasons, except No. of tubers/plant in the second season.

Tabulated results in the same Table illustrate that spraying plants with K at 2% increased significantly potato yield and its components as compared to control. It enhanced total yield (20.55 and 24.45 %) in the first and second seasons, respectively.

Regarding the effect of soil K addition rates, results shown in the same Table reveal that the maximum values of potato yield were obtained from application of 165 kg  $K_2O/ha$  followed by 215 kg  $K_2O/ha$  soil addition in both seasons.

With respect to the interaction effect between among soil organic additions, foliar spraying with K and different rates of K, the results in the same Table show that the maximum values of plant yield, No. of tubers/plant and total yield were recorded from adding vermicompost and K foliar application at 2% combined with 165 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha in both seasons.

**Table 5:** Chlorophyll a, b and a+b in potato plant leaves as affected by organic fertilizers, foliar application and rates of soil potassium fertilization during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons.

		Characters	Chlorophyll	a (mg/g FW)	Chlorophy FV	vll b (mg/g V)	Chlorophyll a	+b (mg/g FW)
Trea	tments		1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
A- 0	rganic f	fertilization treatm	ents:					
com	post		0.705	0.737	0.399	0.427	1.104	1.164
verm	nicompo	st	0.686	0.717	0.386	0.415	1.073	1.133
F. te	st		*	*	*	*	*	*
B- P	otassiun	n foliar applicatior	n:					
Cont	rol		0.676	0.707	0.377	0.407	1.053	1.114
Folia	ur K		0.716	0.747	0.408	0.435	1.124	1.182
F. te	st		*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C- R</i>	ates of s	soil potassium ferti	ilization:					
215	kg/K		0.683 b	0.717 b	0.384 b	0.412 b	1.067 b	1.129 b
165	kg K		0.716 a	0.7465 a	0.410 a	0.438 a	1.125 a	1.184 a
120	kg/K		0.689 b	0.719 b	0.384 b	0.414 b	1.073 b	1.132 b
Inter	raction							
	rol	215 kg/K	0.716 e	0.756 c	0.406 d	0.437 d	1.122 e	1.193 d
	ont	165 kg K	0.687 g	0.717 de	0.391 e	0.422 f	1.078 g	1.139 f
ost	CC	120 kg/K	0.644 k	0.678 g	0.351 h	0.380 i	0.995 k	1.058 i
mp	K	215 kg/K	0.665 i	0.694 fg	0.371 f	0.394 h	1.036 i	1.088 h
(O)	ar	165 kg K	0.765 a	0.795 a	0.442 a	0.469 a	1.207 a	1.264 a
	Foli	120 kg/K	0.754 b	0.782 b	0.431 b	0.459 b	1.185 b	1.241 b
	lo	215 kg/K	0.698 f	0.727 d	0.398 e	0.430 e	1.096 f	1.157 e
ost	ntı	165 kg K	0.677 h	0.705 ef	0.379 f	0.404 g	1.056 h	1.109 g
du	చ	120 kg/K	0.6331	0.660 h	0.336 i	0.369 j	0.9691	1.029 j
	K	215 kg/K	0.655 j	0.688 g	0.361 g	0.388 h	1.016 j	1.076 h
L m	ar	165 kg K	0.732 c	0.769 bc	0.428 b	0.455 b	1.160 c	1.224 c
vel	Foli	120 kg/K	0.725 d	0.755 c	0.417 c	0.445 c	1.142 d	1.200 d

Table 6:         N, P and K percentage in potato plant leaves as affected by organic fertilizers	, foliar application and rates of soil potassium
fertilization during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons.	

/		Characters	N (9	70)	<b>P</b> (	%)	K	(%)
Trea	tments		$1^{st}$	2 <sup>nd</sup>	$1^{st}$	2 <sup>nd</sup>	$1^{st}$	2 <sup>nd</sup>
A- 0	rganic fe	ertilization treatm	ients:					
comp	post		3.25	3.37	0.394	0.413	3.86	3.91
verm	icompos	t	3.01	3.10	0.382	0.402	3.72	3.70
F. tes	st		*	*	*	*	*	NS
B- P	otassium	foliar applicatio	n:					
Cont	rol		2.91	3.00	0.371	0.390	3.62	3.67
Folia	ır K		3.37	3.47	0.405	0.424	3.96	3.95
F. tes	st		*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C- R</i>	ates of so	oil potassium fert	ilization:					
215 1	kg/K		2.91 c	3.00 c	0.380 b	0.400 b	3.71 b	3.75 b
165 l	kg K		3.39 a	3.51 a	0.406 a	0.424 a	3.96 a	4.00 a
1201	kg/K		3.11 b	3.20 b	0.379 b	0.398 b	3.71 b	3.70 b
Inter	raction							
	rol	215 kg/K	3.27 d	3.38 d	0.402 d	0.422 d	3.96 c	4.01 abc
it	nt	165 kg K	3.20 e	3.33 d	0.382 e	0.400 e	3.74 e	3.80 bcd
sod	CC	120 kg/K	2.80 h	2.90 h	0.342 h	0.362 h	3.34 h	3.40 de
m	L	215 kg/K	3.07 f	3.18 f	0.367 f	0.384 fg	3.57 f	3.62 cde
5	olia K	165 kg K	3.65 a	3.78 a	0.443 a	0.461 a	4.32 a	4.37 a
	FG	120 kg/K	3.54 b	3.65 b	0.431 b	0.447 b	4.25 a	4.29 a
S	rol	215 kg/K	2.35 j	2.45 j	0.395 d	0.414 d	3.84 d	3.90 abcd
odı	ntı	165 kg K	3.16 e	3.26 e	0.374 ef	0.391 f	3.62 f	3.64 cde
om	Co	120 kg/K	2.66 i	2.69 i	0.332 i	0.350 i	3.20 i	3.25 e
nic	ar	215 kg/K	2.95 g	3.01 g	0.354 g	0.379 g	3.45 g	3.49 cde
erı	oli£ K	165 kg K	3.55 b	3.66 b	0.424 b	0.444 b	4.14 b	4.18 ab
•	E	120 kg/K	3.45 c	3.56 c	0.413 c	0.431 c	4.05 c	3.74 bcde

Different letters in the same column which indicate significant differences according to the Duncan Multiple Test (P < 0.05)

Table	7:	Potato	tubers	yield :	as aff	fected	by	organic	fertilizer	s, foliar	K	application	and	rates	of J	potassium	fertilizatio	n dur	ing
2018/2	201	9 and 2	2019/20	)20 sea	isons.														

/		Characters	Yield/pl	ant (kg)	No. of tu	ber/plant	Total yi	eld (ton/ha)
Trea	atments		$1^{st}$	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	$2^{nd}$
A- 0	Prganic f	ertilization treatm	nents:					
com	post		0.561	0.550	5.4	5.6	32.05	31.42
verm	nicompos	st	0.598	0.604	5.9	6.1	34.16	34.50
F. te	st		*	*	*	NS	*	*
B- P	otassium	n foliar applicatio	n:	•	•	•	•	
Cont	trol	* *	0.525	0.514	4.9	5.0	30.02	29.37
Folia	ar K		0.633	0.639	6.4	6.7	36.19	36.55
F. te	st		*	*	*	*	*	*
C- R	ates of p	otassium fertilize	r:		•	•	•	
215	kg/K	*	0.578 b	0.581 b	5.7 b	5.9 b	33.05 b	33.22 b
165	kg K		0.650 a	0.649 a	6.4 a	6.8 a	37.15 a	37.07 a
120	kg/K		0.510 c	0.501 c	4.8 c	4.8 c	29.11 c	28.60 c
Inter	raction			•	•	•	•	
	lo:	215 kg/K	0.491 j	0.473 j	4.3 gh	4.7 de	28.05 j	27.04 j
ť	ntr	165 kg K	0.585 f	0.576 f	5.7 def	6.0 bc	33.42 f	32.90 f
sod	ಲಿ	120 kg/K	0.464 k	0.435 k	4.0 h	3.7 f	26.53 k	24.88 k
m	L	215 kg/K	0.635 d	0.621 d	6.3 bcd	6.3 bc	36.29 d	35.50 d
ర	blia K	165 kg K	0.661 c	0.667 c	6.7 abc	7.0 ab	37.78 c	38.10 c
	F	120 kg/K	0.529 h	0.527 h	5.3 ef	5.7 c	30.23 h	30.11 h
s	lo:	215 kg/K	0.510 i	0.514 i	5.0 fg	5.3 cd	29.15 i	29.38 i
od	ntı	165 kg K	0.614 e	0.608 e	6.0 cde	6.3 bc	35.07 e	34.78 e
OM .	C	120 kg/K	0.488 j	0.477 j	4.3 gh	4.0 ef	27.90 ј	27.27 ј
nic t	r	215 kg/K	0.677 b	0.716 b	7.0 ab	7.3 a	38.72 b	40.94 b
err	olia K	165 kg K	0.741 a	0.744 a	7.3 a	7.7 a	42.34 a	42.49 a
Ā	F	120 kg/K	0.556 g	0.562 g	5.7 def	6.0 bc	31.79 g	32.13 g

## **Tubers** quality

Results obtained in Tables 8 and 9 clear that compost soil additions significantly increased tubers contents of total sugars, dry matter, TDS, vitamin C and protein compared to vermicompost in both seasons as well as reducing and non reducing sugars in the first season only and starch in second season only.

Results listed in same Tables show that foliar application with K at 2% significantly increased all aforementioned quality parameters compared to control treatment.

As for potassium soil addition rates, results shown in the same Tables reveal that maximum values of these quality contents were obtained from soil adding 165 kg  $K_2O/ha$  in the first and second seasons.

Concerning the interaction effect among organic additions, foliar application and soil addition of K fertilizer, results in the same Tables clarify that combination between compost addition plus foliar application with K at 2% as well as soil addition of K fertilizer at 165 kg  $K_2O$ /ha gave the highest values of reducing, non reducing, total sugars, dry matter, starch, protein, vitamin C and TDS contents in both seasons.

The same superiority of total and reducing sugar and starch% in both seasons and non-reducing sugar in the second season were obtained by the interactions among compost plus K foliar application at 2% and K soil addition at  $120 \text{ kg } \text{K}_2\text{O/ha}$ .

#### Available K of experimental soil:

Obtained data in Table 1 clears that initial available of soil K was 100.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, it means that the soil of experiment suffer from K deficiency. Fig. 1 shows the effect of organic additions, foliar K and rates of K fertilizer on K available in soil. Results clear that interaction among compost additions plus foliar application with K at 2% and 215 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha. As soil addition gave the highest value of available K, this may be due to release of K as reason for compost addition and the initial amount of K content in compost as shown in Table 2 that make plant more of it that reflexed on potato quality and leaves chemical contents. On the other hand the lowest value of available K obtained from adding vermicompost plus foliar application with tap water and fertilized with 120 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha this may be due to high requirements of potato plants to K in soil especially at the second period of its cycle life. There was a positive correlation between available K and yield (ton/ha) as shown in Figure 2. A significant result at level of 95% with determination coefficients ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) of 0.2226.

**Table 8:** Reducing, non-reducing, total sugars and dry matter of potato tubers as affected by organic fertilizers, foliar K application and rates of soil potassium fertilization during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons.

$\sim$		Characters	R. Sug	ar (%)	N. R. S	ugar (%)	Total Su	gar (%)	Dry ma	tter (%)
Trea	atment	s	$1^{st}$	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
A- 0	Prganic	fertilization t	reatments:							
com	post		2.31	2.42	4.28	4.38	6.59	6.80	14.75	14.98
vern	nicomp	ost	2.25	2.36	4.16	4.24	6.41	6.60	14.33	14.62
F. te	st		*	NS	*	NS	*	*	*	*
B- P	otassiı	ım foliar applı	ication:							
Cont	trol		2.21	2.33	4.07	4.14	6.28	6.47	14.06	14.31
Folia	ar K		2.35	2.45	4.37	4.48	6.72	6.93	15.01	15.29
F. te	st		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C- R</i>	ates of	<sup>f</sup> added potassi	ium fertilizer:							
215	kg/K		2.26 b	2.39 b	4.12 c	4.20 c	6.38 b	6.59 b	14.25 c	14.53 b
165	kg K		2.33 a	2.43 a	4.38 a	4.47 a	6.71 a	6.90 a	15.05 a	15.30 a
120	kg/K		2.26 b	2.36 b	4.16 b	4.27 b	6.42 b	6.63 b	14.31 b	14.56 b
Inter	raction	!								
	rol	215 kg/K	2.33 abcd	2.45 abc	4.34 e	4.42 c	6.67 cd	6.87 c	14.96 e	15.21 e
	ntı	165 kg K	2.26 bcde	2.37 abcd	4.18 g	4.25 cde	6.44 e	6.62 d	14.46 g	14.65 g
ost	C	120 kg/K	2.13 de	2.25 cd	3.82 k	3.89 gh	5.95 hi	6.14 f	13.23 k	13.42 k
du	К	215 kg/K	2.19 bcde	2.32 bcd	4.01 i	4.08 ef	6.20 fg	6.40 e	13.85 i	14.10 i
[0]	ar	165 kg K	2.51 a	2.57 a	4.72 a	4.88 a	7.23 a	7.45 a	16.13 a	16.41 a
	Foli	120 kg/K	2.47 a	2.57 a	4.62 b	4.78 a	7.09 a	7.35 a	15.85 b	16.05 b
	lo:	215 kg/K	2.32 abcd	2.47 ab	4.25 f	4.31 cd	6.57 de	6.78 c	14.67 f	14.94 f
ost	ntı	165 kg K	2.16 cde	2.26 cd	4.13 h	4.15 def	6.29 f	6.41 e	14.12 h	14.41 h
du	ပီ	120 kg/K	2.07 e	2.19 d	3.751	3.81 h	5.82 i	6.00 g	12.911	13.191
icol	K	215 kg/K	2.18 cde	2.31 bcd	3.90 j	3.99 fg	6.08 gh	6.30 e	13.51 j	13.87 j
imi	ar	165 kg K	2.40 ab	2.51 ab	4.52 c	4.61 b	6.92 b	7.12 b	15.48 c	15.74 c
vel	Foli	120 kg/K	2.36 abc	2.43 abc	4.44 d	4.58 b	6.80 bc	7.01 b	15.26 d	15.57 d

**Table 9:** Starch, protein, vitamin C and total dissolved solids (TDS) of potato tubers as affected by organic fertilizers, foliar K application and rates of potassium fertilization during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons.

<u> </u>		Characters	Starch	n (%)	Prote	in (%)	V. C (m	g/100g)	TDS	(%)
Trea	atment	S	$1^{st}$	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	$2^{nd}$	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
A- 0	Irganio	fertilization	treatments:							
com	post		16.46	17.83	11.02	11.51	20.20	21.01	7.34	7.56
vern	nicomp	ost	16.07	17.40	10.38	10.86	19.96	20.79	7.13	7.40
F. te	st		NS	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>B- P</b>	otassii	ım foliar app	lication:							-
Con	trol		15.79	17.12	9.87	10.35	19.73	20.56	6.97	7.21
Folia	ar K		16.74	18.11	11.52	12.02	20.42	21.23	7.51	7.75
F. te	st		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C- R</i>	lates of	<sup>r</sup> potassium fe	ertilizer:							-
215	kg/K		15.95 b	17.33 b	10.21 b	10.73 b	19.88 c	20.68 b	7.08 c	7.34 b
165	kg K		16.79 a	18.12 a	11.59 a	12.06 a	20.42 a	21.26 a	7.53 a	7.75 a
120	kg/K		16.05 b	17.39 b	10.28 b	10.76 b	19.93 b	20.75 b	7.11 b	7.35 b
Inter	raction									-
	rol	215 kg/K	16.75 abcd	18.14 bc	11.40 d	11.90 cd	20.36 d	21.15 d	7.46 e	7.70 d
	ont	165 kg K	16.17 cde	17.37 de	10.46 ef	10.95 ef	19.95 ef	20.80 ef	7.14 g	7.33 e
0 <b>S</b> 1	ŭ	120 kg/K	15.04 fg	16.46 f	8.49 ij	9.00 ij	19.15 i	20.02 h	6.53 k	6.75 h
du	$\mathbf{K}$	215 kg/K	15.42 efg	16.79 ef	9.51 gh	10.02 gh	19.57 gh	20.26 g	6.86 i	7.10 f
00	ar	165 kg K	17.82 a	19.21 a	13.48 a	13.98 a	21.19 a	22.00 a	8.12 a	8.34 a
	Foli	120 kg/K	17.56 a	18.96 a	12.75 b	13.20 b	20.99 ab	21.81 ab	7.95 b	8.15 b
	rol	215 kg/K	16.45 bcd	17.76 cd	10.96 de	11.45 de	20.18 de	21.01 de	7.33 d	7.62 d
ost	nti	165 kg K	15.74 def	17.09 def	9.99 fg	10.47 fg	19.78 fg	20.61 f	7.01 h	7.28 e
du	Co	120 kg/K	14.58 g	15.88 g	7.93 j	8.34 j	18.98 i	19.77 i	6.341	6.60 i
ico	X	215 kg/K	15.20 efg	16.62 ef	8.97 hi	9.55 hi	19.42 h	20.31 g	6.66 j	6.93 g
L. M.	ar	165 kg K	17.41 ab	18.81 ab	12.43 bc	12.85 b	20.77 bc	21.61 bc	7.83 c	8.07 b
ve)	Foli	120 kg/K	17.04 abc	18.24 bc	11.97 c	12.51 bc	20.61 c	21.41 c	7.62 d	7.89 c

Different letters in the same column which indicate significant differences according to the Duncan Multiple Test (P < 0.05)



Fig 1: Effect of organic additions, foliar K and rates of K fertilizer on available K in soil



**Fig. 2:** Linear analysis of available K of soil and yield (ton/ha) of potato grown under organic additions, foliar K and rates of K fertilizer (average of the two seasons).

#### Discussion

Results shown in previous Tables have been proved that there were differences between organic additions. The enhancement of compost additions may be due to improving variable properties of soil as well enrichment soil with available form of nutrients this led to increase nutrients uptake (as shown in Table 6). The increment of plant growth enhance photosynthetic pigments (as shown in Table 5) and physiological activity which increase assimilation process to improve potato yield and quality. These results are in close harmony with those obtained by El-Sayed *et al.* (2015), Abd El-Nabi *et al.* (2016) and Mijwel (2018) on potato crop.

The benefits effect of vermicompost that is exemplary organic addition for preferable growth and yield of potato may be due to contain more nutritional values than conventional compost and the more suitable of C/N ratio and consequently enhance mineralization rate and grade of humication by earthworms action in Table (2 and 3) (Azarmi et al., 2009) on Tomato. Vermicompost stimulate the activity of microorganisms that enhance phytohormones and plant growth regulators (Bhattacharya and Chattopadhyay, 2002) that influence positively potato growth (as shown in Table 4) and enhancing adsorbing capacity of fundamental elements against leaching this promote good absorption of nutrients and increase its contents in plant (as shown in Table 6). These findings agree with those recording by Ferdous et al. (2019a); Ferdous et al. (2019b); Gangele et al. (2019) and Mostofa et al. (2019) on potato.

Results reveal that increasing applied K rate to 165 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha led to improve vegetative growth and potato yield, whereas raising K rate to 215 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha had a negative impact this may be due to antagonism between nutrients (Abdrabbo et al., 2019). The increment effect of 165 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha on vegetative growth may be due to high response of foliar and bulk need to soil K additions as well easier absorption by penetration of K through leaves that enhances root imbibition with nutrients by enhancing root growth and nutrients mobilization from leaves to roots (El-Fouly and El-Sayed, 1997), the soil K content is insufficient to potato requirement as shown in Table 1, thus the improvement of potato growth according to K additions may be due to that K plays critical function in turgor regulation into guard cells through stomata mobilization (Marchner, 2012), as well its role in plant meristematic growth and nourishment i.e. enhance enzymes activity, photosynthesis and carbohydrate that eventually help to enhance tuber size (Al-Moshileh and Errebi, 2004). Increasing chlorophyll contents by enhancing enzyme activity with adequate amount of soil K that reflect on potato growth and definitive conversion in to sink (tubers) (Nazli *et al.*, 2018), translocate assimilates and synthesis of protein, this also reflexed on leaves chemical composition (as shown in Tables 5 and 6) that increased tuber yield (as shown in Table 7) and its quality (as shown in tables 8 and 9). These results are in harmony to those obtained by Salim *et al.* (2014); Zelelew *et al.* (2016); Abou zeid and Abd El-Latif (2017) and Agrawal *et al.* (2017) on potato.

#### **Economic feasibility:**

The economic feasibility of planting potato plants as influenced by organic applications, foliar K application and addition rates of K are cleared in Table 10. The results clarify that the maximum net return (53370 LE/ha) was obtained from compost addition plus foliar application with K at 2% as well as soil addition of K at 165 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, as well this treatment returns the highest benefit cost ratio (1.88) comparing with other treatments. Thus this treatment deemed to be economically for highly production of potato under the condition of this research.

**Table 10:** Economic performance of potato production as affected by organic soil additions, foliar K and soil addition rates of K treatment during both seasons as an average.

	Treat	ments	Marketable yield (ton/ ha)	Cross return (£E ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Treatment cost (£E ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Total variable cost (£E ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net return (£E ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Benefit cost ratio	Order
	rol	215 kg/K	27.55	82635	10675	58525	24110	1.41	7
st	nti	165 kg K	33.16	99480	9385	57235	42245	1.73	3
bo	Co	120 kg/K	25.71	77115	8105	55955	21160	1.38	8
om	ar	215 kg/K	35.90	107685	13890	61740	45945	1.74	2
ప	oliŝ K	165 kg K	37.94	113820	12600	60450	53370	1.88	1
	H	120 kg/K	30.17	90510	11320	59170	31340	1.53	5
st	rol	215 kg/K	29.27	87795	26925	74775	13020	1.17	10
bo	nt	165 kg K	34.93	104775	25635	73485	31290	1.43	6
om	Co	120 kg/K	27.59	82755	24355	72205	10550	1.15	11
nic	ar	215 kg/K	39.83	119490	30140	77990	41500	1.53	5
ern	oliŝ	165 kg K	42.42	127245	28850	76700	50545	1.66	4
V	F	120 kg/K	31.96	95880	27570	75420	20460	1.27	9

#### Conclusion

The recommendation of this study is the usage of compost or vermicompost at 9.5 and 7 ton/ ha respectively and foliar application with K at 2% combined with 165 kg  $K_2O$ /ha to obtained the highest yield and improved quality of potato especially under insufficient K in soil.

#### References

- Abd El-Nabi, H.M.; El-Gamily, E.I. and Keshta, N.A. (2016). Response of potato plants to organic, bio and mineral fertilization. J. Plant Prod. Mans. Univ., 7(8): 861-867.
- Abdrabbo, M.A.; Maharik, Z.Y.; Farag, A.A.; Abul-Soud, M. and Fahim, M.A. (2019). Potato production by using different potassium rates and vermin-wash spray application. Acta agriculture Slovenica, 113(2): 101-107.
- Abou Zeid; S.T. and Abd El-Latif, A.L. (2017). Evaluation of potassium sources and rates on the yield and quality of fertigated potato grown in sandy soil. Egypt. J. Soil Sci., 57(1): 15-21.
- Agrawal, S.; Lekhi, R. and Patidar, P. (2017). Effect of different level of potassium and vermicompost on tuber

quality of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) and storage. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 6(11): 2978-2983.

- Al-Moshileh, A.M. and Errebi, M.A. (2004). Effect of various potassium sulphate rates on growth, yield and quality of potato grown under sandy soil and arid conditions. *Potassium and Fertigation development in West Asia and North Africa* (pp. 24-28). Rabat, Morocco: IPI Regional workshop.
- AOAC (2012). Official methods of analysis. 19<sup>th</sup> edition. 2<sup>nd</sup> revision. Gaithersburg, MD, USA, Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Azarmi, R; Giglon, M.T. and Taleshmikail, R.D. (2009). Influence of vermicompost on soil chemical and physical properties in tomato (*lycopersicon esculentum*) field. African J. of Biotechnology, 7(14): 2397-2401.
- Bhattacharya, S.S. and Chattopadhyay, G.N. (2002). Increasing bioavailability o phosphorus from fly ash through vermicomposting. J. Environ. Quality, 31(6): 2116-2119.
- Boardman, A.E.; Greenberg, D.H.; Vining, A.R. and Weimer, D.L. (2001). Cost-benefit analysis. Concepts and practice. 2nd Ed. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River.

- Borah, M.C.; Mahanta, P.; Kakoty, S.K.; Saha, U.K. and Sahasrabudhe, A.D. (2007). Study of quality parameters in vermicomposting. J. Biotechnology, 6: 410-413.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple ranges and multiple F test .Biometrics.,1-42.
- El-Fouly, M.M. and El-Sayed, A.A. (1997). Foliar fertilization: An environmentally friendly application of fertilizers. Dahlia Greidinger International Symposium on "FFertilization and Environment" 24-27 March, Eppendrof, N. and G. Haifa, Israel, John I(ed.) pp. 346-357.
- El-Sayed, S.F.; Hassan, H.A. and El-Mogy, M.M. (2015). Impact of bio and organic fertilizers on potato yield, quality and tuber weight loss after harvest. Potato Res., 58: 67-81.
- El-Sirafy, Z.M.; Abbady, K.A.; El-Ghamry, A.M. and El-Dissoky, R.A. (2008). Potato yield quality, quantity and profitability as affected by soil and foliar potassium application. Res. J. of Agric. and Biol. Sci., 4(6): 912-922.
- FAOSTAT (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) (2017). Crop production Statistical Database. Available from: http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data [Accessed on July 16, 2018].
- Ferdous, J.; Roy, T.S.; Chakraborty, R.; Mostofa, M.; Nowroz, F. and Noor, R. (2019b). Starch and sugar content of some selected potato varieties as influenced by vermicompost. Azarian J. of Agric., 6(2): 47-57.
- Ferdous, J.; Roy, T.S.; Chakraborty, R.; Mostofa, M.; Noor, R.; Nowroz, F. and Kundu, B.C. (2019a). Vermicompost influences on processing quality of potato tubers. SAARC J. Agric., 17(2): 173-184.
- Gangele, P.; Tomar, K.S. and Vishvkarma, D. (2019). Effect of different levels of potassium fertilizer with and without vermicompost on morphological parameters of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Inter. J. of Chem. Studies, 7(1): 2407-2409.
- Gomez, K.N. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). Statistical Procedures For Agricultural Research. John Whily and Sons, New York, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., 68p.
- Goodwine, T.W. (1965). Quantitative analysis of the chloroplast pigments. Academic Press, London and New York.
- Hiller, K.L. (1995). Foliar fertilization bumps potato yields in northwest. Rate and timing of application, plus host of

other considerations, are critical in applying foliars to potatoes. Fluid J., 3(3): 29-30.

- Jackson, M.L. (1967). "Soil chemical Analysis". Printico-Hall of India, New Delhi.
- Lairon, D. (2009). Nutritional quality and safty of organic food a review. Agron. Sustain. Dev., 30(1): 33-41.
- Malik, C.P. and Srivastava, A.K. (1979). Text book of plant physiology. New Delhi, India: Kalyani Puplishers.
- Marchner, P. (2012). Mineralnutrition of higher plants. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed.; Academic Press: London, U.K. pp. 178-189.
- Mijwel, A.K. (2018). Organic and bio-fertilizers and their effect in some soil and plant variables and potato yield. Plant Archives, 18(2): 2340-2344.
- Mostofa, M.; Roy, T.S. and Chakraborty, R. (2019). Bioactive compounds of potato influenced by vermicompost and tuber size during ambient storage condition. Inter. of Recycling of organic waste in Agric., 8: 5225-5234.
- Najar, I.A.; Khan, A.B. and Hai, A. (2015). Effect of macrophyte vermicompost on growth and productivity of brinjal (*Solanum melongena*) under field conditions. Int J. Recycl. Org. Waste Agric., 4(2): 73-83.
- Nazli, F.; Iqbal, M.; Bibi, F.; Hye, Z.; Kashif, M. and Ahmad, M. (2018). Modeling the potassium requirements of potato crop for yield and quality optimization. Asian J. Agric. and Biol., 6(2):169-180.
- Salim, B.B.; Abd El-Gawad, H.G. and Abou El-yazied, A. (2014). Effect of foliar spray of different potassium sources on growth, yield and mineral composition of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Middle East J. of Appl. Sci., 4(4): 1197-1204.
- Salomi, M. and Saadat, S. (2013). Study of potassium and nitrogen fertilizer levels on the yield of sugar beet in joggle cultivar. J. of Novel Appl. Sci., 2(4): 94-100.
- Somogy, N. (1952). Notes on sugar determination. J. Biol.Chem., 145:19-23.
- Wang, M.; Zheng, Q.; Shen, Q. and Guo, S. (2013). The critical role of potassium in plant stress response. International J. of molecular Sci., 14(4): 7370-7390.
- Westennann, D.T. (2005). Nutritional requirements of potatoes. Amer. J. of potato Res., 82: 01-307.
- Zelelew, D.Z.; Lal, S.; Kidane, T.T. and Ghebreslassie, B.M. (2016). Effect of potassium levels on growth and productivity of varities. Amer. J. of plant Sci., 7: 1629-1638.